
### **DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES**

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836 SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001 (916) 653-5791

June 6, 2014

Ms. Elizabeth "Izzy" Martin, President CABY-RWMG c/o The Sierra Fund 206 Sacramento Street, Suite 101 Nevada City, California 95959



Dear Ms. Martin:

This letter transmits the Department of Water Resources (DWR) final review of the CABY Integrated Regional Water Management (CABY IRWM) Plan. The public comment period on DWR's review of the CABY IRWM Plan has closed and no public comments were received. DWR has determined that the CABY IRWM Plan is consistent with the IRWM Planning Act and the related IRWM Plan Standards contained in the 2012 IRWM Program Guidelines. The final review is posted on the following link: http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/prp.cfm.

If adopted by the Regional Water Management Group and project proponents, by the appropriate dates, the CABY IRWM Plan will satisfy the terms of the Round 1 and Round 2 Implementation Grant Agreements default clause and the adopted plan eligibility criteria for the 2014 Drought Solicitation. Each agreement and grant solicitation has its own date for adoption compliance.

To simplify submitting proof of adoption, DWR will compile and track this information and inform DWR grant managers and grant application review teams appropriately. You may submit proof of adoption material as often as necessary. When submitting information, please fill out and the IRWM Plan Adoption Form, found at: <a href="http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/resourceslinks.cfm">http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/resourceslinks.cfm</a>, along with scanned proof of adoption, and then submit the material directly to Craig Cross at the email address listed below.

If you have any questions, please contact Craig Cross at (916) 651-9204 or Craig.Cross@water.ca.gov

Sincerely,

Tracie L. Billington, P.E. Chief Financial Assistance Branch

Jacie L Bight

Division of Integrated Regional Water Management

#### INTRODUCTION

IRWM planning regions must have an IRWM Plan that has been reviewed and deemed consistent with the 2012 IRWM Plan Standards by DWR for eligibilty to receiving Round 3 Proposition 84 funding. This 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form for DWR staff use provides a consistent means in determining whether the 2012 IRWM Guidelines are being addressed in the IRWM Plan. It is part of the Plan Review Process that will begin prior to Round 3 solicitation. The form is similar to a grant application review form in that there is a checklist for each of the 16 Plan Standards and narrative evaluations where required. However, the evaluation is pass/fail; there is no numeric scoring. Each Plan Standard is either sufficient or not based on its associated requirements. Each Standard consists of between one and fourteen requirements. A Yes or No is automatically calculated in each Plan Standard header based on the individual requirement evaluations. In general, a passing score of "C" (i.e. 70% of the requirements for a given Plan Standard) is required for a Standard to pass. Standards with only one or 2 requirements will need one or both of those requirements to pass. Standards with 3 requirements must be met in order to be considered consistent with plan standards. A summary of the sufficiency of each Standard is automatically calculated on the Standards Summary worksheet. A "No" evaluation indicates that a Standard was not met due to insufficient requirements comprising the Standard. The evaluation for each Plan Standard and any associated insufficiencies is automatically compiled on the Standards Summary page. Additional reviewer comments may be added at the bottom of each standards work sheet.

Note: This review form is meant to be a tool used in conjunction with the 2012 IRWM Guidelines document to assist in the evaluation of IRWM plans. It is not designed to be a substitute for the Guidelines document itself. Reviewers must use the Guidelines in determining plan consistency.

### **DEFINITION OF TABLE HEADINGS**

IRWM Plan Standard: As named in the November 2012 IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidlelines.

This field is either "YES" or "NO" and is automatically calculated based on the "Sufficient" column described below. If all fields

Overall Standard Sufficient: are "y", the the overall standard is deemed sufficient. Any entry other than a "y" in the Sufficient column (i.e. "n", ?, not sure,

more detail needed, etc.) results in a NO.

Plan Standard Requirements Which Must Be Addressed Fields with an asterisk \* are required by legislation to be included in an IRWM Plan.

Requirement	Requirements are taken directly from the November 2012 Guidelines.					
	Is the Guideline Requirement included in the IRWM Plan? The options are: y = yes, requirement is included in the IRWMP; or					
Included	n = no, requirement is not included in the IRWMP. If only y or n then presence/absence of the requirement is sufficient for					
iiciuueu	evaluation. If there is a "q" (qualitative) then add a brief narrative, similar to a Grant Application Review public evaluation or					
	supporting information.					
Plan Standard Source						
2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines	Description to Critical in a (Newspaper 2002) which provides to the Description of					
Source Page(s)	Page(s) in the Guidelines (November 2012) which pertain to the Requirement.					
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	The CWC or other regulations that pertain to the Requirement, if applicable. This is for reference purposes. The cell links to a					
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	weblink of the regulatory code.					
Evidence of Sufficiency						
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	The page(s) or sections in the IRWM Plan where information on the Requirement can be found. This can be specific					
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWW Plan	paragraphs or entire chapters for more general requirements.					
	Supporting information for the Requirement if a "q" is in the Included column. This can be just a few sentences or a paragraph					
Brief Qualitative Evaluation Narrative	and can be taken directly from the IRWM Plan. Comments or supporting information may be entered regardless of whether					
	required.					
Sufficient	Is the Guidelines requirement sufficiently represented in the IRWM Plan (y/n).					

# 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form

Regional Acceptance Process Planning Region: Cosumnes American Bear Yuba Regional Water Management Group: Cosumnes American Bear Yuba

IRWM Plan Title: Cosumnes American Bear Yuba Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

# **PLAN IS SUFFICIENT**

IRWM Plan Standard	Overall Standard	Requirement(s) Insufficient
Tikvvivi Fian Standard	Sufficient	Requirement(3) insumcient
Governance	Yes	
Region Description	Yes	
<u>Objectives</u>	Yes	
Resource Management Strategies	Yes	
Integration *	Yes	
Project Review Process	Yes	
Impact and Benefit	Yes	
Plan Performance and Monitoring	Yes	
Data Management	Yes	
<u>Finance</u>	Yes	
Technical Analysis	Yes	
Relation to Local Water Planning	Yes	
Relation to Local Land Use Planning	Yes	
Stakeholder Involvement	Yes	
Coordination	Yes	
Climate Change	Yes	

<sup>\*</sup> If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

Additional Comments:

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance	:			Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes			
Requirement	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Included Plan Standard Source			Evidence of Sufficiency		
From IRWM Guidelines			2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n	
Document a governance structure to ensure upda	tes to the IR\	WM Plan						
The name of the RWMG responsible for implementation of the IRWMP	y/n	У	18/35	CINC \$10530	1.1		У	
A description of the IRWM governance structure	y/n	У	19/36	CWC §10539	4.2 (all)		У	
A description of how the chosen form of governance	ce addresses	and ensures	s:		<del>1</del>		!	
Public outreach and involvement processes	y/n/q	у	19/36-37		2.2 (2.2.1 – 2.2.5.3), 2.3, 4.2.5.1, 4.6	Section 2.2.2 describes the five steps CABY uses to promote access to IRWMP activities.	У	
Effective decision making	y/n/q	у	19/37		2.5, 4.2 (all- background), 4.2.4	CABY's decision making process is organized into three groups: Planning Committee (Planning Committee), Coordinating Committee & CABY Work Groups.	У	
Balanced access and opportunity for participation in the IRWM process	y/n/q	У	19/37		2.2.1 – 2.2.5.3, 2.4.1, 2.5.3, 2.5.3.1, 4.6	Balanced access and opportunity is provided through work groups, outreach to DACs and tribal governments, ethnic communities, and public outreach programs.	У	
Effective communication – both internal and external to the IRWM region	y/n/q	у	19/37-38	§10540, §10541	4.2.5	Internal communication methods includes CABY and Sacramento River Watershed Information Module websites, meeting, and emails. External methods include open public meetings, emails to interested parties, and CABY website.	У	
Long term implementation of the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	У	19/38		4.1.2, 4.4.2 – 4.4.4	Long term implementation of the plan is discussed in Chapter 4.	У	
Coordination with neighboring IRWM efforts and State and federal agencies	y/n/q	У	19/38		4.5	Coordination with adjacent IRWM efforts and state and federal agencies is discussed.	У	
The collaborative process(es) used to establish plan objectives	y/n/q	У	19/38		4.3	CABY formed a Work Group made up of PC members who were tasked with developing an initial set of objectives.	У	
How interim changes and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed	y/n/q	У	19/38		4.4.2-4.4.3	How interim and formal changes are made to the plan is adequately addressed in the provided sections.	У	
Updating or amending the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	у	19/38		4.4.2-4.4.4	See above comment.	у	
Publish NOI to prepare/update the plan; adopt the plan in a public meeting	y/n/q	У	35	CWC §10543	4.7	Discussed in Section 4.7.	У	

IRWM Plan Standard: Region Des	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	dard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency		
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
If applicable, describe and explain how the plan will help reduce dependence on the Delta supply regionally	y/n	У	20		N/A		У
Describe watersheds and water systems	y/n	у	19/39	PRC §75026.(b)(1) and CWP Update 2009	5.1		у
Describe internal boundaries	y/n	у	19/39		5.2.1		у
Describe water supplies and demands for minimum 20 year planning horizon	y/n	у	19/39		Table 7-1 and Table 7-4		У
Describe water quality conditions	y/n	У	19/40		6.2		У
Describe social and cultural makeup, including specific information on DACs and tribal communities in the region and their water challenges.	y/n/q	у	19/40		5.3	Section 5.3 discusses the social, cultural and tribal makeup of the region. Water challenges of DAC and are also discussed.	У
Describe major water related objectives and conflicts *	y/n/q	У	19/40	§10541. (e)(3)	Table 9-4, 9.6	Objectives are listed in the Table 9.4. Conflicts discussed include, land development, new dam construction, and FERC relicensing.	У
Explain how IRWM regional boundary was determined and why region is an appropriate area for IRWM planning.	y/n/q	у	19/40		5.2.1	IRWMP region borders are based on the similarities in the physiography of the watersheds, socioeconomics, hydrology, geology, hydrogeology, water storage and delivery infrastructure, and land use.	У
Describe neighboring and/or overlapping IRWM efforts	y/n	у	19/40		5.2.2		У
Explain how opportunities are maximized (e.g. people at the table, natural features, infrastructure) for integration of water management activities	y/n	У	38		4.5.1		У

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Objectives						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency		
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in	sent/Not the IRWMP. qualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Qualitative Narrative	y/n
Through the objectives or other areas of the plan, the 7 items on pg 41 of GL are addressed.*	y/n	у	20/40 - 41	§10540.( c )	Table 9-4		У
Describe the collaborative process and tools used to establish objectives:  - How the objectives were developed  - What information was considered (i.e., water management or local land use plans, etc.)  - What groups were involved in the process  - How the final decision was made and accepted by the IRWM effort	y/n	У	20/41		9.1		у
Identify quantitative or qualitative metrics and measureable objectives: Objectives must be measurable - there must be some metric the IRWM region can use to determine if the objective is being met as the IRWM Plan is implemented. Neither quantitative nor qualitative metrics are considered inherently better. *	y/n/q	У	20/41 - 42	<u>10541.(e)</u>	Table 9-4	Plan Objectives include both qualitative and quantitative metrics.	у
Explain how objectives are prioritized or reason why the objectives are not prioritized	y/n/q	У	20/42-43		9.3	The plan states that prioritizing objectives would not only result in unneeded conflict between member entities, but that prioritizing objectives would be similar to comparing 'apples and oranges.'	У
Reference specific overall goals for the region: RWMGs may choose to use goals as an additional layer for organizing and prioritizing objectives, or they may choose to not use the term at all.	y/n	У	43		9.2		у

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Resource Managen	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Stan	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines		he IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify RMS incorporated in the IRWM Plan: Consider all California Water Plan (CWP) RMS criteria (29) listed in Table 3 from the CWP Update 2009 *	y/n	У	20/43	CWP Update 2009 Volume II; 10541(e)(1)	Table 10-1		У
Consideration of climate change effects on the IRWM region must be factored into RMS	y/n	У	20/43		Tables 10-1 and Table 10-3, 10.5.2, 10.5.2.1		у
Address which RMS will be implemented in achieving IRWM Plan Objectives	y/n	У	44		Tables 10-3 and 10-4		у

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Integration	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	uded	Plan Star	dard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pre Present in t If y/n/q q evaluatio	he IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contains structure and processes for developing and fostering integration <sup>1</sup> :  - Stakeholder/institutional  - Resource  - Project implementation	y/n/q	У	20/44 - 45	§10540.(g); §10541.(h)(2)	10.2-10.4	Integration is discussed in Chapter 10.	У

<sup>1.</sup> If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

IRWM Plan Standard: Project Revi	IRWM Plan Standard: Project Review Process											
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient					
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Present in the IRWMP.  If y/n/q qualitative		Present in the IRWMP.  If y/n/q qualitative		y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative  2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)		Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Process for projects included in IRWM plan must address 3 components: - procedures for submitting projects - procedures for reviewing projects - procedures for communicating lists of selected projects	y/n	У	20/45		12.4.1, 12.4.2, 12.6, 12.6.1		У					
Does the project review process in the plan		·					•					
incorporate the following factors:												
How a project contributes to plan objectives	y/n	У	20		Table 12-3		У					
How a project is related to Resource Management Strategies identified in the plan.	y/n	У	20		12.2.3		У					
The technical feasibility of a project.	y/n	у	20		Appendix I		У					
A projects specific benefits to a DAC water issue.	y/n	У	20	<u>§75028.(a)</u>	Appendix I		у					
Environmental Justice considerations.	y/n	у	20		Appendix I		У					
Project costs and financing	y/n	у	20		Appendix I		У					
Address economic feasibility	y/n	у	21		Appendix I		у					
Project status	y/n	у	21		Appendix I		У					
Strategic implementation of plan and project merit	y/n	У	21/48		Appendix I		У					
Project's contribution to climate change adaptation	y/n	У	21		Appendix I		у					
Contribution of project in reducing GHGs compared to project alternatives	y/n	У	21		12		Υ					
Status of the Project Proponent's IRWM plan adoption	y/n	У	21		4.4		у					
Project's contribution to reducing dependence on Delta supply (for IRWM regions receiving water from the Delta).	y/n	У	21		N/A		У					

IRWM Plan Standard: Impact and Bend	efit					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. ualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Discuss potential impacts and benefits of plan implementation within IRWM region, between regions, with DAC/EJ concerns and Native American Tribal communities	y/n	У	21		14.3		У
State when a more detailed project-specific impact and benefit analysis will occur (prior to any implementation activity)	y/n	У	49		14 intro		У
Review and update the impacts and benefits section of the plan as part of the normal plan management activities	y/n	У	50		14.2.2		у

IRWM Plan Standard: Plan Performand	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	ard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain performance measures and monitoring methods to ensure that IRWM objectives are met *	y/n	У	21/53	PRC §75026.( a )	13-1		У
Contain a methodology that the RWMG will use to oversee and evaluate implementation of projects.	y/n	у	21/53	<u>r ne 373020.( a j</u>	13-2		У

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Data Manag	gement	•				Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes		
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency			
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Describe data needs within the IRWM region	y/n	у	54		15.3		У		
Describe typical data collection techniques	y/n	У	54		15.2.2		Y		
Describe stakeholder contributions of data to a data management system	y/n	у	54		15.2.3		у		
Describe the entity responsible for maintaining data in the data management system	y/n	у	54		15.2.5		У		
Describe the QA/QC measures for data	y/n	Y	54		15.4		Y		
Explain how data collected will be transferred or shared between members of the RWMG and other interested parties throughout the IRWM region, including local, State, and federal agencies *	y/n	У	54		15.2.1		У		
Explain how the Data Management System supports the RWMG's efforts to share collected data	y/n	у	54		15.2.3		У		
Outline how data saved in the data management system will be distributed and remain compatible with State databases including CEDEN, Water Data Library (WDL), CASGEM, California Environmental Information Catalog (CEIC), and the California Environmental Resources Evaluation System (CERES).	y/n	У	54		15.2.1, 15.2.2, 15.3.3		У		

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Finance						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		IRWMP. Program Guidelines and/or itative Source Page(s) Citatio		Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Include a programmatic level (i.e. general) plan for implementation and financing of identified projects and programs* including the following:	y/n	У	21		16.2		У
List known, as well as, possible funding sources, programs, and grant opportunities for the development and ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan.	y/n	у	21		16.2.4		у
List the funding mechanisms, including water enterprise funds, rate structures, and private financing options, for projects that implement the IRWM Plan.	y/n	у	21	§10541.( e )( 8 )	Table 16-1		у
An explanation of the certainty and longevity of known or potential funding for the IRWM Plan and projects that implement the Plan.	y/n	У	21		16.2.6		У
An explanation of how operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for projects that implement the IRWM Plan would be covered and the certainty of operation and maintenance funding.	y/n	У	21		16.4		у

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Technical Analysis	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Stan	dard Source	•	Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present in t If y/n/q q evaluation	he IRWMP. ualitative	Program Guidelines	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document the data and technical analyses that were used in the development of the plan *	y/n	у	22		Table 15-1 and Bibliography, 15.1.2.1- 15.1.2.4, each chapter contains documentation of technical analyses		У

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local W	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify a list of local water plans used in the IRWM plan	y/n	У	22		8.2.1-8.2.7, Bibliography		У
Discuss how the plan relates to these other planning documents and programs	y/n	У	22	\$40F40 ( b )	8.2.1-8.2.7		У
Describe the dynamics between the IRWM plan and other planning documents	y/n	У	22	<u>§10540.( b )</u>	8.1.1, 8.3 (all)		У
Describe how the RWMG will coordinate its water mgmt planning activities	y/n	у	58		8.4		У

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local La	<b>Overall Standard Sufficient</b>	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. ualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document current relationship between local land use planning, regional water issues, and water management objectives	y/n	У	22/59 - 62		8.1.1 Table 9-4 Appendix E		у
Document future plans to further a collaborative, proactive relationship between land use planners and water managers	y/n	у	22/59 - 62		8.1.1		у

IRWM Plan Standard: Stakeholder Involve	ement					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Standard Source			Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. Jualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain a public process that provides outreach and opportunity to participate in the IRWM plan *	y/n	у	22/63	§10541.(g)	2.2 (all), 4.6		У
Identify process to involve and facilitate stakeholders during development and implementation of plan regardless of ability to pay; include barriers to invlovement *	y/n	у	64	§10541.(h) (2)	2.4.1		у
Discuss involvement of DACs and tribal communities in the IRWM planning effort	y/n	у	23		2.1.3, 2.1.8, 2.2.5, 12.3.3		у
Describe decision-making process and roles that stakeholders can occupy	y/n	у	23		2.5.1-2.5.3 and 2.5.3.1		У
Discuss how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS	y/n	у	23		2.5.3		У
Discuss how a collaborative process will engage a balance in interest groups	y/n	у	23		2.2		У

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Coordination	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement Included		uded	Plan Stan	ndard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify the process to coordinate water management projects and activities of participating local agencies and stakeholders to avoid conflicts and take advantage of efficiencies *	y/n	У	23/65	§10541.( e )(13)	3.2.1-3.2.3, 3.2.1.2, Figure 3-2, 12.5		у
Identify neighboring IRWM efforts and ways to cooperate or coordinate, and a discussion of any ongoing water management conflicts with adjacent IRWM efforts	y/n	У	23/65		3.2 (3.2.1 -3.2.3) 4.5.1		У
Identify areas where a state agency or other agencies may be able to assist in communication or cooperation, or implementation of IRWM Plan components, processes, and projects, or where State or federal regulatory decisions are required before implementing the projects.	y/n	У	23		3.3.1		У

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Climate Change	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in	esent/Not the IRWMP. qualitative on needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Evaluate IRWM region's vulnerabilities to climate change and potential adaptation responses based on vulnerabilites assessment in the DWR Climate Change Handbook for Regional Water Planning *	y/n	у	23/66 - 73	Climate Change Handbook vulnerability	Table 11-1		У
Provide a process that considers GHG emissions when choosing between project alternatives *	y/n	у	23/68	assessment: http://www.water.ca.g ov/climatechange/CCH	11.9.2.3 and 11.9.2.4		у
Include a list of prioritized vulnerabilites based on the vulnerability assessment and the IRWM's decision making process.	y/n	у	23/66 - 73	andbook.cfm; November 2012 Guidelines Legislative	Table 11-2		у
Contain a plan, program, or methodology for further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities	y/n	у	23/66 - 73	and Policy Context, p. 66 §10541.( e )(11)	11.6.1, 11.6.2, 11.10		У
Include climate change as part of the project review process	y/n	У	23/68		Table 11-4, 12.6 Appendix I		У

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

Regulatory Citation	Link	Notes
IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines	http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/docs/Guidelines/GL_2012_FI_NAL.pdf	DWR November 2012 Guidelines - Final
CWC §10539	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10532- 10539	
CWC §10540, §10541	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
CWC §10543	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
PRC §75026, §75028, CWP Update 2009, and California Watershed Portal	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=prc&group=75001-76000&file=75020- 75029.5 http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/cwpu2009/index.cfm http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/watershedportal/Pages/Index.aspx	The Department of Water Resources shall give preference to proposals that satisfy the criteria specified in PRC §75026.(b)(1). §75028.(a) - the department shall defer to approved local project selection, and review projects only for consistency with the purposes of Section 75026.  2009 California Water Plan Volumes I and II  California Watershed Portal
§10541. (e)(3)	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	